

What are the benefits of having foods made from genetically modified crops

Plants used in agriculture "GM Crops" redirects here. For the journal formerly printed under that name, see GM Crops & Food. Part of a series on Genetically modified organisms Bacteria Viruses Animals Mammals Fish Insects Plants Maize/corn Rice Soybean Potato History and regulation History Regulation Substantial equivalence Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety Process Techniques Molecular cloning Recombinant DNA Gene delivery Transformation Transfection Senetically modified crops food Gene therapy Designer baby Controversies Genetically modified food controversies GMO conspiracy theories Pusztai affair Séralini affair StarLink corn recall He Jiankui affair vte Genetically modified crops (GM crops) are plants used in T-DNA binary vectors. In most cases, the aim is to introduce a new trait to the plant which does not occur naturally in the species. Examples in food crops include resistance to chemical treatments (e.g. resistance to a herbicide), or improving the nutrient profile of the crop. Examples in non-food crops include production of pharmaceutical agents, biofuels, and other industrially useful goods, as well as for bioremediation.[1] Farmers have widely adopted GM technology. Acreage increased from 1.7 million hectares in 1996 to 185.1 million hectares in 2016, some 12% of global cropland. As of 2016, major crop (soybean, maize, canola and cotton) traits consist of herbicide tolerance (95.9 million hectares) insect resistance (25.2 million hectares), or both (58.5 million hectares). In 2015, 53.6 million ha of GM maize were under cultivation (almost 1/3 of the maize crop). GM maize outperformed its predecessors: yield was 5.6 to 24.5% higher with less mycotoxins (-28.8%), fumonisin (-30.6%) and thricotecens (-36.5%). Non-target organisms were unaffected, except for Braconidae, represented by a parasitoid of European corn borer, the target of Lepidoptera active Bt maize. Biogeochemical parameters such as lignin content did not vary, while biomass decomposition was higher.[2] GM crops have numerous benefits for human health and environment.[3] A 2014 meta-analysis concluded that GM technology adoption had reduced chemical pesticide use by 37%, increased farmer profits by 68%.[4] This reduction in pesticide use has been ecologically beneficial, but benefits may be reduced by overuse.[5] Yield gains and pesticide reductions are larger for insect-resistant crops than for herbicide-tolerant crops.[6] Yield and profit gains are higher in developing countries than in developing countries.[4] Pesticide poisonings were reduced by up to 9 million cases per year in India alone. Widespread introduction of Bt cotton led to 25% decline in farmer suicides in India. Bt maize led to reduction of cancer rates caused by mycotoxins.[3] There is a scientific consensus[7][8][9][10] that currently available food derived from GM crops poses no greater risk to human health than conventional food,[11][12][13][14][15] but that each GM food needs to be tested on a case-by-case basis before introduction.[16][17][18] Nonetheless, members of the public are much less likely than scientists to perceive GM foods as safe.[19][20][21][22] The legal and regulatory status of GM foods varies by country, with some nations banning or restricting them, and others permitting them with widely differing degrees of regulation.[23][24][25][26] However, opponents have objected to GM crops on grounds including environmental impacts, food safety, whether GM crops are needed to address food needs, whether they are sufficiently accessible to farmers in developing countries[27] and concerns over subjecting crops to intellectual property law. Safety concerns led 38 countries, including 19 in Europe, to officially prohibit their cultivation.[2] History Main article: History of genetic engineering Humans have directly influenced the genetic makeup of plants to increase their value as a crop through domestication. The first evidence of plant domestication comes from emmer and einkorn wheat found in pre-Pottery Neolithic A villages in Southwest Asia dated about 10,500 to 10,100 BC.[28] The Fertile Crescent of Western Asia, Egypt, and India were sites of the earliest planned sowing and harvesting of plants that had previously been gathered in the wild. Independent development of agriculture occurred in northern and southern China, Africa's Sahel, New Guinea and several regions of the Americas.[29] The eight Neolithic founder crops (emmer wheat, einkorn wheat, barley, peas, lentils, bitter vetch, chick peas and flax) had all appeared by about 7,000 BC.[30] Traditional crops by creating novel crosses. A hybrid cereal grain was created in 1875, by crossing wheat and rye.[31] Since then traits including dwarfing genes and rust resistance have been introduced in that manner.[32] Plant tissue culture and deliberate mutations have enabled humans to alter the makeup of plant genomes.[33][34] Modern advances in genetics have allowed humans to alter plants genetics. In 1970 Hamilton Smith's lab discovered restriction enzymes that allowed DNA to be cut at specific places, enabling scientists to isolate genes from an organism's genome.[35] DNA ligases that join broken DNA together had been discovered earlier in 1967,[36] and by combining the two technologies, it was possible to "cut and paste" DNA sequences and create recombinant DNA. Plasmids, discovered in 1952,[37] became important tools for transferring information between cells and replicating DNA sequences. In 1907 a bacterium that caused plant tumors, Agrobacterium that caused plant tumors, Agrobacterium that caused plant tumors, Agrobacterium that caused plant tumors in the plasmid [38] By removing the genes in the plasmid that caused the tumor and adding in novel genes researchers were able to infect plants with A. tumefaciens and let the bacteria insert their chosen DNA sequence into the genomes of the plants.[39] As not all plant cells were susceptible to infection by A. tumefaciens other methods were developed, including electroporation, micro-injection[40] and particle bombardment with a gene gun (invented in 1987).[41][42] In the 1980s techniques were developed to introduce isolated chloroplasts back into a plant cell that had its cell wall removed. With the introduction of the gene gun in 1987 it became possible to integrate foreign genes into a chloroplast.[43] Genetic transformation has become very efficient in some model organisms. In 2008 genetically modified seeds were produced in Arabidopsis thaliana by dipping the flowers in an Agrobacterium solution.[44] In 2013 CRISPR was first used to target modification of plant genomes.[45] The first genetically engineered crop plant was tobacco, reported in 1983.[46] It was developed creating a chimeric gene that joined an antibiotic resistant gene to the T1 plasmid from Agrobacterium. The tobacco was infected with Agrobacterium transformed with this plasmid resulting in the chimeric gene being inserted into the plant. Through tissue culture techniques a single tobacco cell was selected that contained the gene and a new plant grown from it.[47] The first field trials of genetically engineered plants occurred in France and the US in 1986, tobacco plants were engineered to be resistant to herbicides.[48] In 1987 Plant Genetic Systems, founded by Marc Van Montagu and Jeff Schell, was the first company to genetically engineer insect-resistant plants by incorporating genes that produced insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) into tobacco.[49] The People's Republic of China was the first country to commercialise transgenic plants, introducing a virus-resistant tobacco in 1992.[50] In 1994 Calgene attained approval to commercialise transgenic plants, introducing a virus-resistant tobacco in 1992.[50] In 1994 Calgene attained approval to commercialise transgenic plants, introducing a virus-resistant tobacco in 1992.[50] In 1994 Calgene attained approval to commercialise transgenic plants, introducing a virus-resistant tobacco. [51] Also in 1994, the European Union approved tobacco engineered to be resistant to the herbicide bromoxynil, making it the first genetically engineered crop commercialised in Europe.[52] In 1995 Bt Potato was approved safe by the Environmental Protection Agency, after having been approved by the FDA, making it the first pesticide producing crop to be approved in the US.[53] In 1996 a total of 35 approvals had been granted to commercially grow 8 transgenic crops and one flower crop (carnation), with 8 different traits in 6 countries plus the EU.[48] By 2010, 29 countries had planted commercialised genetically modified crops and a further 31 countries had granted regulatory approval for transgenic crops to be imported.[54] The first genetically modified animal to be commercialised was the GloFish, a Zebra fish with a fluorescent gene added that allows it to glow in the dark under ultraviolet light.[55] The salmon were transformed with a growth hormone-regulating gene from a Pacific Chinook salmon and a promoter from an ocean pout enabling it to grow year-round instead of only during spring and summer.[57] Methods Main article: Genetic engineering techniques Plants (Solanum chacoense) being transformed using agrobacterium Genetically engineered crops have genes added or removed using genetic engineering techniques, [58] originally including gene guns, electroporation, microinjection and agrobacterium. More recently, CRISPR and TALEN offered much more precise and convenient editing techniques, [58] originally including gene guns, electroporation, microinjection and agrobacterium. against[59]) target genes into plant cells. It is the most common method. DNA is bound to tiny particles of gold or tungsten which are subsequently shot into plant tissue or single plant cells under high pressure. The accelerated particles of gold or tungsten which are subsequently shot into plant DNA is bound to tiny particles of gold or tungsten which are
subsequently shot into plant cells. It is the most common method. inside the nucleus. This method has been applied successfully for many cultivated crops, especially monocots like wheat or maize, for which transformation using Agrobacterium tumefaciens has been less successful.[60] The major disadvantage of this procedure is that serious damage can be done to the cellular tissue. Agrobacterium tumefaciensmediated transformation is another common technique. Agrobacteria are natural plant parasites. [61] Their natural ability to transfer genes provides another engineering method. To create a suitable environment for themselves, these Agrobacteria insert their genes into plant hosts, resulting in a proliferation of modified plant cells near the soil level (crown gall). The genetic information for tumor growth is encoded on a mobile, circular DNA fragment (plasmid). When Agrobacterium infects a plant, it transfers this T-DNA to a random site in the plant genome. When used in genetic engineering the bacterial T-DNA is removed from the bacterial plasmid and replaced with the desired foreign gene The bacterium is a vector, enabling transportation of foreign genes into plants. This method works especially well for dicotyledonous plants like potatoes, tomatoes, and tobacco. Agrobacteria infection is less successful in crops like wheat and maize. Electroporation is used when the plant tissue does not contain cell walls. In this technique, "DNA enters the plant cells through miniature pores which are temporarily caused by electric pulses." Microinjection is used to directly inject foreign DNA into cells.[62] Plant scientists, backed by results of modern comprehensive profiling of crop composition, point out that crops modified using GM techniques are less likely to have unintended changes than are conventionally bred crops.[63][64] In research tobacco and Arabidopsis thaliana are the most frequently modified plants, due to well-developed transformation methods, easy propagation and well studied genomes.[65][66] They serve as model organisms for other plant species. Introducing new genes into plants requires a promoter specific to the area where the gene is to be expressed. For instance, to express a gene only in rice grains and not in leaves, an endosperm-specific promoter is used. The codons of the gene must be optimized for the organism due to codon usage bias. Types of modifications Transgenic maize containing a gene from the bacteria Bacillus thuringiensis Transgenic Transgenic plants have genes inserted into them that are derived from another species. The inserted genes can come from species within the same kingdoms (for example, bacteria to plant). In many cases the inserted DNA has to be modified slightly in order to be correctly and efficiently expressed in the host organism. Transgenic plants are used to express proteins, like the cry toxins from B. thuringiensis, herbicide-resistant genes, antibodies,[67] and antigens for vaccinations.[68] A study led by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) also found viral genes in transgenic plants.[69] Transgenic carrots have been used to produce the drug Taliglucerase alfa which is used to treat Gaucher's disease.[70] In the laboratory, transgenic plants have been modified to increase photosynthesis (currently about 2% at most plants versus the theoretic potential of 9-10%).[71] This is possible by changing the rubisco enzyme (i.e. changing C3 plants into C4 plants[72]), by placing the rubisco in a carboxysome, by adding CO2 pumps in the cell wall,[73] or by changing the leaf form or size.[74][75][76][77] Plants have been engineered to exhibit bioluminescence that may become a sustainable alternative to electric lighting.[78] Cisgenic Cisgenic Diants are made using genes found within the same species or a closely related one, where conventional plant breeding can occur. Some breeders and scientists argue that cisgenic modification is useful for plants that are difficult to crossbreed by conventional means (such as potatoes), and that plants in the cisgenic category should not require the same regulatory scrutiny as transgenics.[79] Subgenic Genetically modified plants can also be developed using gene knockdown or gene knockout to alter the genetic makeup of a plant without incorporating genes from other plants. In 2014, Chinese researcher Gao Caixia filed patents on the creation of a strain of wheat that is resistant to powdery mildew. The strain lacks genes that encode proteins that repress defenses against the mildew. The researchers deleted all three copies of the genes from wheat's hexaploid genome. Gao used the TALENs and CRISPR gene editing tools without adding or changing any other genes. No field trials were immediately planned.[80][81] The CRISPR technique has also been used by Penn State researcher Yinong Yang to modify white button mushrooms (Agaricus bisporus) to be non-browning,[82] and by DuPont Pioneer to make a new variety of corn.[83] Multiple trait integrated into a new crop.[84] Economics The neutrality of this section is disputed. Relevant discussion may be found on the talk page. Please do not remove this message until conditions to do so are met. (December 2015) (Learn how and when to remove this template message) GM food's economic value to farmers is one of its major benefits, including in developing nations.[85][86][87] A 2010 study found that Bt corn provided economic benefits of \$6.9 billion over the previous 14 years in five Midwestern states. The majority (\$4.3 billion) accrued to farmers producing non-Bt corn. This was attributed to European corn borer populations reduced by exposure to Bt corn, leaving fewer to attack conventional corn nearby.[88][89] Agriculture economists calculated that "world surplus [increased by] \$240.3 million for 1996. Of this total, the largest share (59%) went to U.S. farmers. Seed company Monsanto received the next largest share (21%), followed by US consumers (9%), the rest of the world (6%), and the germplasm supplier, Delta & Pine Land Company of Mississippi (5%)."[90] According to the International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA), in 2014 approximately 18 million farmers grew biotech crops in 28 countries; about 94% of the farmers were resource-poor in developing countries. 53% of the global biotech crop area of 181.5 million hectares was grown in 20 developing countries. [91] PG Economics comprehensive 2012 study concluded that GM crops increased farm incomes worldwide by \$14 billion in 2010, with over half this total going to farmers in developing countries. [92] Critics challenged the claimed benefits to farmers over the prevalence of biased observers and by the absence of randomized controlled trials. [citation needed] The main Bt crop grown by small farmers in developing countries is cotton. A 2006 the claimed benefits to farmers over the prevalence of biased observers and by the absence of randomized controlled trials. review of Bt cotton findings by agricultural economists concluded, "the overall balance sheet, though promising, is mixed. Economic returns are highly variable over years, farm type, and geographical location".[93] In 2013 the European Academies Science Advisory Council (EASAC) asked the EU to allow the development of agricultural GM technologies to enable more sustainable agriculture, by employing fewer land, water, and nutrient resources. EASAC also criticizes the EU's "time-consuming and expensive regulatory framework" and said that the EU had fallen behind in the adoption of GM technologies.[94] Participants in agriculture business markets include seed companies, agrochemical companies, distributors, farmers, grain elevators and universities that develop new crops/traits and whose agricultural extensions advise farmers on best practices.[citation needed] According to a 2012 review based on data from the late 1990s and early 2000s, much of the GM crop grown each year is used for livestock feed and increased demand for meat leads to increased demand for GM feed crops.[95] Feed grain usage as a percentage of total crop production is 70% for corn and more than 90% of oil seed meals such as soybeans. About 65 million metric tons of GM corn grains and about 70 million metric tons of soybean meals derived from GM soybean become feed.[95] In 2014 the global value of biotech seed was US\$15.7 billion; US\$11.3 billion (72%) was in industrial countries and US\$4.4 billion (28%) was in the developing countries.[91] In 2009, Monsanto had \$7.3 billion in sales of seeds and from licensing its technology; DuPont, through its Pioneer subsidiary, was the next biggest company in that market.[96] As of 2009, the overall Roundup line of products including the GM seeds represented about 50% of Monsanto's business.[97] Some patents on GM traits have expired, allowing the legal development of generic strains that include these traits. For example, generic glyphosate-tolerant GM soybean is now available. Another impact is that traits developed by one vendor can be added to another vendor's proprietary strains, potentially increasing product choice and competition.[98] The patent on the first type of Roundup Ready crop that Monsanto produced (soybeans) expired in 2014[99] and the first harvest of off-patent soybeans occurs in the spring of 2015.[100] Monsanto has broadly licensed the patent to other seed companies that include the glyphosate resistance trait in their seed products.[101] About 150 companies have licensed the technology,[102] including Syngenta[103] and DuPont Pioneer.[104] Yield In 2014, the largest review yet concluded that GM crops' effects on farming were positive. The meta-analysis considered all published English-language examinations of the agronomic and economic impacts between 1995 and March 2014 for three major GM crops: soybean, maize, and cotton. The study found that herbicide-tolerant crops have lower production costs, while for
insect-resistant crops the reduced pesticide use was offset by higher seed prices, leaving overall production costs about the same.[4][105] Yields increased 9% for herbicide tolerance and 25% for insect resistant varieties. Farmers who adopted GM crops help farmers in developing countries, increasing yields by 14 percentage points.[106] The researchers considered some studies that were not peer-reviewed and a few that did not report sample sizes. They attempted to correct for publication bias, by considering sources beyond academic journals. The large data set allowed the funding source did not influence study results.[106] Under special conditions meant to reveal only genetic yield factors, many GM crops are known to actually have lower yields. This is variously due to one or both of: Yield drag, wherein the trait itself lowers yield, either by competing for synthesis feedstock or by being inserted slightly inaccurately, into the middle of a yield-relevant gene; and/or yield lag, wherein it takes some time to breed the newest yield genetics into the GM lines. This does not reflect realistic field conditions however, especially leaving out pest pressure which is often the point of the GM trait.[107] See for example Roundup Ready § Productivity claims. Traits Genetically modified King Edward potato (right) next to King Edward which has not been genetically modified (left). Research field belonging to the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in 2019. GM crops grown today, or under development, have been modified with various traits. These traits include improved shelf life, disease resistance, stress resistance, herbicide resistance, pest resistance, production of useful goods such as biofuel or drugs, and ability to absorb toxins and for use in bioremediation of pollution. Recently, research and development has been targeted to enhancement of crops that are locally important in developing countries, such as insect-resistant cowpea for Africa[108] and insectresistant brinjal (eggplant).[109] Extended shelf life The first genetically modified crop approved for sale in the U.S. was the FlavrSavr tomato, which had a longer shelf life.[51] First sold in 1994, FlavrSavr tomato production ceased in 1997.[110] It is no longer on the market. In November 2014, the USDA approved a GM potato that prevents bruising.[111][112] In February 2015 Arctic Apples were approved by the USDA,[113] becoming the first genetically modified apple approved for US sale.[114] Gene silencing was used to reduce the expression of polyphenol oxidase (PPO), thus preventing enzymatic browning of the fruit after it has been sliced open. The trait was added to Granny Smith and Golden Delicious varieties.[113][115] The trait includes a bacterial antibiotic resistance gene that provides resistance to the antibiotic kanamycin. The genetic engineering involved cultivation in the presence of kanamycin, which allowed only resistant cultivars to survive. Humans consuming apples do not acquire kanamycin resistance, per arcticapple.com.[116] The FDA approved the apples in March 2015.[117] Improved photosynthesis Plants use non-photochemical quenching mechanism almost instantaneously, but it takes much longer for it to switch off again. During the time that it is switched off, the amount of energy that is wasted increases.[118] A genetic modification in three genes allows to correct this (in a trial with tobacco plants). As a result, yields were 14-20% higher, in terms of the weight of the dry leaves harvested. The plants had larger leaves, were taller and had more vigorous roots.[118][119] Another improvement that can be made on the photosynthesis process (with C3 pathway plants) is on photorespiration. By inserting the C4 pathway into C3 plants, productivity may increase by as much as 50% for cereal crops, such as rice.[120][121][122][123][124] Improved biosequestration capability The Harnessing Plants Initiative focuses on creating GM plants that have increased root mass, root depth and suberin content. Improved nutritional value Edible oils Some GM soybeans offer improved oil profiles for processing.[125] Camelina sativa has been modified to produce plants that accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[127] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[128] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[128] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[128] Vitamin enrichment Golden rice, developed by the International Rice accumulate high levels of oils similar to fish oils.[128] Vitamin enrichment Golden Research Institute (IRRI), provides greater amounts of vitamin A targeted at reducing vitamin A deficiency.[129] As of January 2016, golden rice has not yet been grown commercially in any country.[130] Toxin reduction A genetically modified cassava under development offers lower cyanogen glucosides and enhanced protein and other nutrients (called BioCassava).[131] In November 2014, the USDA approved a potato that prevents bruising and produces less acrylamide when fried.[111][112] They do not employ genes from non-potato species. The trait was added to the Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet and Atlantic varieties.[111] Stress resistance Plants have been engineered to tolerate nonbiological stressors, such as drought,[111][112][132][133] frost,[134] and high soil salinity.[66] In 2011, Monsanto's Drought resistance occurs by modifying the plant's genes responsible for the mechanism known as the crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM), which allows the plants to survive despite low water-levels. This holds promise for water-levels. This holds promise for water-limited environments.[136][137] Several salinity tolerance mechanisms have been identified in salt-tolerant crops. For example, rice, canola and tomato crops have been genetically modified to increase their tolerance to salt stress. [138][139] Herbicides Glyphosate (the active ingredient in Roundup and other herbicide products) kills plants by interfering with the shikimate pathway in plants which is essential for the synthesis of the aromatic amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan. The shikimate pathway is not present in animals, which instead obtain aromatic amino acids from their diet. More specifically, glyphosate inhibits the enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS). This trait was developed because the herbicides used on grain and grass crops at the time were highly toxic and not effective against narrow-leaved weeds. Thus, developing crops that could withstand spraying with glyphosate would both reduce environmental and health risks, and give an agricultural edge to the farmer.[140] Some micro-organisms have a version of EPSPS that is resistant to glyphosate inhibition. One of these was isolated from an Agrobacterium strain CP4 (CP4 EPSPS) that was resistant to glyphosate.[141][142] The CP4 EPSPS gene was engineered for plant expression by fusing the 5' end of the gene to a chloroplast transit peptide derived from the petunia EPSPS. This transit peptide was used because it had shown previously an ability to deliver bacterial EPSPS to the chloroplasts of other plants. This CP4 EPSPS gene was cloned and transfected into soybeans. The plasmid used to move the gene into soybeans was PV-GMGTO4. It contained three bacterial genes, two CP4 EPSPS genes, and a gene encoding beta-glucuronidase (GUS) from Escherichia coli as a marker. The DNA was injected into the soybeans using the particle acceleration method. Soybean cultivar A54O3 was used for the transformation. Bromoxynil.[143] Glufosinate Crops have been commercialized that are resistant to the herbicide glufosinate, as a marker. well.[144] Crops engineered for resistance to multiple herbicides to allow farmers to use a mixed group of two, three, or four different chemicals are under development to combat growing herbicide resistance.[145][146] 2,4-D In October 2014 the US EPA registered Dow's Enlist Duo maize, which is genetically modified to be resistant to both glyphosate and 2,4-D, in six states.[147][148][149] Inserting a bacterial aryloxyalkanoate dioxygenase gene, aad1 makes the corn resistant to 2,4-D.[147][150] The USDA had approved maize and soybeans with the mutation in September 2014.[151] Dicamba Monsanto has requested approval for a stacked strain that is tolerant of both glyphosate and dicamba. The request includes plans for avoiding herbicide drift to other crops.[152] Significant damage to other non-resistant crops occurred from dicamba formulations intended to reduce volatilization drifting when sprayed on resistant soybeans in 2017.[153] The newer dicamba formulation labels specify to not spray when average wind speeds are above 10-15 miles per hour (16-24 km/h) to avoid
particle drift, average wind speeds below 3 miles per hour (4.8 km/h) to avoid temperature inversions, and rain or high temperatures are in the next day forecast. However, these conditions typically only occur during June and July for a few hours at a time.[154][155] Pest resistance Insects Tobacco, corn, rice and some other crops have been engineered to express genes encoding for insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[156][157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[156][157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[156][157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt).[156][157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins (Bt).[156][157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been estimated to have reduced the total volume of insecticidal proteins (Bt).[156][157] The introduction of Bt crops during the period between 1996 and 2005 has been tons. This represents a 19.4% reduction in insecticide use.[158] In the late 1990s, a genetically modified potato that was resistant to the Colorado potato beetle was withdrawn because major buyers rejected it, fearing consumer opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] Viruses Papaya, potatoes, and squash have been engineered to resist viral pathogens such as cucumberry opposition.[111] V mosaic virus which, despite its name, infects a wide variety of plants.[159] Virus resistant papaya were developed in response to a papaya ringspot virus (PRV) outbreak in Hawaii in the late 1990s. They incorporate PRV DNA.[160][161] By 2010, 80% of Hawaiian papaya plants were genetically modified.[162][163] Potatoes were engineered for resistance to potato leaf roll virus and Potato virus Y in 1998. Poor sales led to their market withdrawal after three years. [164] Yellow squash that were resistant to at first two, then three viruses are watermelon, cucumber and zucchini/courgette yellow mosaic. Squash was the second GM crop to be approved by US regulators. The trait was later added to zucchini.[165] Many strains of corn have been developed in recent years to combat the spread of Maize dwarf mosaic virus, a costly virus that causes stunted growth which is carried in Johnson grass and spread by aphid insect vectors. These strands are commercially available although the resistance is not standard among GM corn variants.[166] By-products Drugs In 2012, the FDA approved the first plant-produced pharmaceutical, a treatment for Gaucher's Disease.[167] Tobacco plants have been modified to produce therapeutic antibodies.[168] Biofuel Algae is under development for use in biofuels.[169] Researchers in Singapore were working on GM jatropha for biofuel production.[170] Syngenta has USDA approval to market a maize trademarked Enogen that has been genetically modified to either have less lignin, or to express lignin with chemically labile bonds. Lignin is the critical limiting factor when using wood to make bio-ethanol because lignin limits the accessibility of cellulose microfibrils to depolymerization by enzymes. [172] Besides with trees, the chemically labile lignin bonds are also very useful for cereal crops such as maize, [173][174] Materials Companies and labs are working on plants that can be used to make bioplastics. [175] Potatoes that produce industrially useful starches have been developed as well. [176] Oilseed can be modified to produce Helicoverpa armigera pheromones and is in progress with a Spodoptera frugiperda version. The H. armigera pheromones have been tested and are effective.[177] Bioremediation Scientists at the University of York developed a weed (Arabidopsis thaliana) that contains genes from bacteria that could clean TNT and RDX-explosive soil contaminants in 2011.[178] 16 million hectares in the US (1.5% of the total surface) are estimated to be contaminated with TNT and RDX. However A. thaliana was not tough enough for use on military test grounds.[179] Modifications in 2016 included switchgrass and bentgrass.[180] Genetically modified plants have been used for bioremediation of contaminated soils. Mercury selenium and organic pollutants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).[179][181] Marine environments are especially vulnerable since pollution, millions of tons of petroleum annually enter the marine environment from natural seepages. Despite its toxicity, a considerable fraction of petroleum oil entering marine systems is eliminated by the hydrocarbon-degrading activities of microbial communities. Particularly successful is a recently discovered group of specialists, the so-called hydrocarbon-degrading activities of microbial communities. year. This randomizes which genes get propagated to the next generation, meaning that desirable traits can be lost. To maintain a high-quality crop, some farmers purchase seeds every year. Typically, the seed company maintains two inbred varieties and crosses them into a hybrid strain that is then sold. Related plants like sorghum and gamma grassmustic every year. are able to perform apomixis, a form of asexual reproduction that keeps the plant's DNA intact. This trait is apparently controlled by a single dominant gene, but traditional breeding has been unsuccessful in creating asexually-reproducing maize. replant harvested seeds that retain desirable traits, rather than relying on purchased seed.[183] Other Genetic modifications to some crops also exist, which make it easier to process the crop, i.e. by growing in a more compact form.[184] Also, some crops also exist, which make it easier to process the crops also exist, which make it easier to process the crops also exist. List of genetically modified crops Herbicide tolerance Crop Use Countries approved in First approved [186] Notes Alfalfa Animal feed[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in 2011[189] Canola Cooking oil Margarine Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in First approved in First approved in First approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and
then re-approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approved in Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] US 2005 Approval withdrawn in 2007[188] and then re-approval withdrawn in 2007[188] oilAnimal feed[187] Argentina 2001 Australia 2002 Brazil 2008 Colombia 2004 Costa Rica 2008 Mexico 2000 Paraguay 2013 South Africa 2000 US 1994 Maize Animal feed high-fructose corn syrup corn starch[187] Argentina 1998 Brazil 2007 Canada 1996 Colombia 2007 Cuba 2011 European Union 1998 Grown in Portugal, Spain, Czech Republic Slovakia and Romania[190] Honduras 2001 Paraguay 2012 Philippines 2002 US 1995 Uruguay 2003 Soybean Animal feed Soybean oil[187] Argentina 1996 Bolivia 2007 Costa Rica 2001 US 1995 Uruguay 1996 Sugar Beet Food[191] Canada 2001 Mexico 1996 Paraguay 2003 Soybean Animal feed Soybean Animal fee US 1998 Commercialised 2007,[192] production blocked 2010, resumed 2011.[191] Insect resistance Crop Use Countries approved in First approved [186] Notes Cotton FiberCottonseed oilAnimal feed[187] Argentina 1998 Australia 2003 Brazil 2005 Burkina Faso 2009 China 1997 Colombia 2003 Costa Rica 2008 India 2002 Largest producer of Bt cotton[193] Mexico 1996 Myanmar 2006[N 1] Pakistan 2010[N 1] Paraguay 2007 South Africa 1997 Sudan 2012 US 1995 Eggplant Food Bangladesh 2013 12 ha planted on 120 farms in 2014[194] Maize Animal feed high-fructose corn syrup corn starch[187] Argentina 1998 Brazil 2005 Colombia 2003 Mexico 1996 Centre of origin for maize[195] Paraguay 2007 Philippines 2002 South Africa 1997 Uruguay 2003 US 1995 Poplar Tree China 1998 543 ha of bt poplar planted in 2014[196] Other modified traits Crop Use Trait Countries approved in First approved[186] Notes Canola Cooking oil Margarine Emulsifiers in packaged foods[187] High laurate canola Canada 1996 US 1994 Phytase production US 1998 Carnation Ornamental Delayed senescence Australia 1995 Norway 1998 Modified flower colour Australia 1995 Colombia 2000 In 2014 4 ha were grown in greenhouses for export[197] European Union 1998 Two events expired 2008, another approved 2007 Japan 2004 Malaysia 2012 For ornamental purposes Norway 1997 Maize Animal feed high-fructose corn syrup corn starch[187] Increased lysine Canada 2006 US 2006 Drought tolerance Canada 2010 US 2011 Papaya Food[187] Virus resistance China 2006 US 1996 Mostly grown in Hawaii[187] Petunia Ornamental Modified flower colour China 1997[198] Potato Food[187] Virus resistance Canada 1999 US 1997 Industrial[199] Modified starch US 2014 Rose Ornamental Modified flower colour Australia 2009 Surrendered renewal Colombia 2010[N 2] Greenhouse cultivation for export only. Japan 2008 US 2011 Soybean Animal feed Soybean oil[187] Increased oleic acid production Canada 2011 US 2011 Squash Food[187] Virus resistance US 1994 Sugar Cane Food Drought tolerance Indonesia 2013 Environmental certificate only Tobacco Cigarettes Nicotine reduction US 2002 GM Camelina sativa have been done, see §Edible oils and §Non-pesticide pest management products above. Development Theorem 2013 Environmental certificate only Tobacco Cigarettes Nicotine reduction US 2002 GM Camelina sativa have been done, see §Edible oils and §Non-pesticide pest management products above. number of USDA-approved field releases for testing grew from 4 in 1985 to 1,194 in 2002 and averaged around 800 per year thereafter. The number of sites per release and the number of sites per release with agronomic properties (such as drought resistance) jumped from 1,043 in 2005 to 5,190 in 2013. As of September 2013, about 7,800 releases had been approved for cortn, more than 2,200 for soybeans, more than 2 flavor or nutrition (4,896), agronomic properties like drought resistance (5,190), and virus/fungal resistance (2,616). The institutions with 565, and USDA's Agricultural Research Service with 370. As of September 2013 USDA had received proposals for releasing GM rice, squash, plum, rose, tobacco, flax, and chicory.[200] Farming practices This section needs expansion with: examples and additional citations of how farmers' use of GM crops changes their practices. You can help by adding to it. (September 2012) Resistance Bacillus thuringiensis Constant exposure to a toxin creates evolutionary pressure for pests resistance in 14 weed species in the US,[200] and in soybeans.[6] To reduce resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) crops, the 1996 commercialization of transgenic cotton and maize came with a management strategy to prevent insects from becoming resistant. Insect resistance management plans are mandatory for Bt crops. The aim is to encourage a large population of pests so that any (recessive) resistance development plans are mandatory for Bt crops. Bt. In refuges, non-resistant strains outcompete resistant ones.[201] With sufficiently high levels of transgene expression, nearly all of the heterozygotes (S/s), i.e., the largest segment of the pest population carrying a resistance allele, will be killed before maturation, thus preventing transmission of the resistance gene to their progeny.[202] Refuges (i. e., fields of nontransgenic plants) adjacent to transgenic fields increases the likelihood that homozygous resistant (s/s) individuals from the refuge, instead of with other individuals from the refuge, instead of with other individuals from the refuge. remains lower. Complicating factors can affect the success of the high-dose/refuge strategy. For example, if the temperature is not ideal, thermal stress can lower Bt toxin production and leave the plant more susceptible. More importantly, reduced late-season expression has been documented, possibly resulting from DNA methylation of the promoter [203] The success of the high-dose/refuge strategy has successfully maintained the value of Bt crops. This success has depended on factors independent of management strategy, including low initial resistance, and the abundance of non-Bt host plants outside the refuges. [204] Companies that produce Bt seed are introducing strains with multiple Bt proteins. Monsanto did this with Bt cotton in India, where the product was rapidly adopted. [205] Monsanto has also; in an attempt to simplify the process of implementing refuges in fields to comply with Insect Resistance Management (IRM) policies and prevent irresponsible planting practices; (RIB), this practice is intended to increase farmer compliance with refuge requirements and reduce additional labor needed at planting from having separate Bt and refuge seed bags on hand.[206] This practice is intended to increase farmer compliance with refuge requirements and reduce additional labor needed at planting from having separate Bt and refuge seed bags on hand.[206] This practice is intended to increase farmer compliance with refuge requirements and reduce additional labor needed at planting from having separate Bt and refuge seed bags on hand.[206] This practice is intended to increase farmer compliance with refuge requirements and reduce additional labor needed at planting from having separate Bt and refuge seed bags on hand.[206] This strategy is likely to reduce the likelihood of Bt-resistance occurring for corn rootworm, but may increase the risk of resistance for lepidopteran corn pests, such as European corn borer. Increased concerns for resistance or cross pollination of refuge pollen on to Bt plants that can lower the amount of Bt expressed in kernels for ear feeding insects.[207][208] Herbicide resistance. BMPs include applying multiple herbicides with different modes of action, rotating crops, planting weed-free seed, scouting fields routinely, cleaning equipment to reduce the transmission of weeds to other fields, and maintaining field borders. [200] The most widely planted GM crops are designed to tolerate herbicides. By 2006 some weed populations had evolved to tolerate some of the same herbicides. native of the southwestern US, it traveled east and was first found resistant to glyphosate in 2006, less than 10 years after GM cotton was introduced. [209][210] Plant protection Farmers generally use less insecticide when they plant Bt-resistant crops. Insecticide when they plant Bt-resistant crops. Insecticide use on corn farms declined from 0.21 pound per planted acre in 1995 to 0.02 pound in 2010. This is consistent with the decline in European corn borer populations as a direct result of Bt corn and cotton. The establishment of minimum refuge requirements helped delay the evolution of Bt resistance. However, resistance appears to be developing to some Bt traits in some areas. [200] Tillage By leaving at least 30% of crop residue on the soil surface from harvest through planting, conservation tillage reduces soil erosion from wind and water, increases water retention, and reduces the carbon footprint of agriculture.[211] A 2014 review covering 12 states from 1996 to 2006, found that a 1% increase in herbicde-tolerant (HT) soybean adoption leads to a 0.21% increase in conservation tillage and a 0.3% decrease in quality-adjusted herbicide use.[211] Regulation of genetic engineering and Regulation of the release of genetic modified organisms. The regulation of genetic engineering concerns the approaches taken by governments to assess and manage the risks associated with the development and release of genetically modified crops. There are differences occurring between the US and Europe. Regulation varies in a given country depending on the intended use of each product. For example, a crop not intended for food use is generally not reviewed by authorities responsible for food safety. [212][213] Production GM crops production in
the World (ISAAA Brief 2019) More than 10 million hectares Between 50,000 and 10 million hectares Detween 50,000 and 10 million hectares In 2013, GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and the second safety. [212][213] Production GM crops and 10 million hectares and 10 million were planted in 27 countries; 19 were developing countries and 8 were developing countries and 8 were developing countries grew a majority (54%) of the total GM harvest. 18 million hectares in developing countries and 8 were developing countries. [214] Biotech crops US 70.1 Maize, Soybean, Cotton, Canola, Sugarbeet, Alfalfa, Papaya, Squash Brazil 40.3 Soybean, Maize, Cotton Argentina 24.4 Soybean, Maize, Cotton Argentina 24.4 Soybean, Maize, Cotton India 11.0 Cotton Canada 10.8 Canola, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Cotton Argentina 24.4 Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Maize, Cotton Argentina 24.4 Soybean, Maize, Soybean, Ma crop varieties planted in the United States.[215][216] According to National Agricultural Statistics Service, the states published in these tables represent 81-86 percent of all upland cotton planted area, and 81-93 percent of all soybean planted area, and 81-93 percent of all soybean planted area, and 81-93 percent of all corn planted area, and 81-93 percent of all upland cotton planted area, and 81-93 percent of all soybean planted area, and 81-93 percent of all upland cotton planted area, and 81-93 pe International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA) and can be found in their annual reports, "Global Status of Commercialized Transgenic Crops".[1][217] Farmers have widely adopted GM technology (see figure). Between 1996 and 2013, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased by a factor of 100, the total surface area of land cultivated with GM crops increased from 17,000 square kilometers (4,200,000 acres) to 1,750,000 km2 (432 million acres).[1] 10% of the world's arable land was planted with GM crops in 2010.[54] As of 2011, 11 different transgenic crops were grown commercially on 395 million acres) in 29 countries such as the US, Brazil, Argentina, India, Canada, China, Paraguay, Pakistan, South Africa, Uruguay, Bolivia, Australia, Philippines, Myanmar, Burkina Faso, Mexico and Spain. [54] One of the key reasons for this widespread adoption is the perceived economic benefit the technology brings to farmers. For example, the system of planting glyphosate-resistant seed and then applying glyphosate once plants emerged provided farmers with the opportunity to dramatically increase the yield from a given plot of land, since this allowed them to plant rows far enough apart to control post-emergent weeds with mechanical tillage.[218] Likewise, using Bt seeds means that farmers do not have to purchase insecticides, and then invest time, fuel, and equipment in applying them. However critics have disputed whether yields are higher and whether yields are higher and whether chemical use is less, with GM crops. See Genetically modified food controversies article for information. Land area used for genetically modified crops by country (1996-2009), in millions of hectares. In 2011 the land area used was 160 million hectares, or 1.6 million square kilometers. [54] In the US, by 2014, 94% of the planted area of soybeans, 96% of cortno and 93% of corn were genetically modified varieties. [219][220][221] Genetically modified varieties. insect protection traits (the latter largely Bt protein).[222] These constitute "input-traits" that are aimed to financially benefits to consumers. The Grocery Manufacturers of America estimated in 2003 that 70-75% of all processed foods in the U.S. contained a GM ingredient [223] Europe grows relatively few genetically engineered crops[224] with the exception of Spain, where one fifth of maize is genetically engineered, [225] and smaller amounts in five other countries. [226] The EU had a 'de facto' ban on the approval of new GM crops, from 1999 until 2004. [227][228] GM crops are now regulated by the EU. [229] In 2015, genetically engineered crops are banned in 38 countries worldwide, 19 of them in Europe. [230][231] Developing countries grew 54 percent of genetically engineered crops in 2013. [1] In recent years GM crops expanded rapidly in developing countries. In 2013 approximately 18 million farmers grew 54% of worldwide GM crops in developing countries.[1] 2013's largest increase was in Brazil (403,000 km2 in 2013.[1] According to the 2013 ISAAA brief: "...a total of 36 countries (35 + EU-28) have granted regulatory approvals for biotech crops for food and/or feed use and for environmental release or planting since 1994... a total of 2,833 regulatory approvals involving 27 GM crops and 336 GM events (NB: an "event" is a specific genetic modification in a specific genetic modifi release or planting. Japan has the largest number (198), followed by the U.S.A. (165, not including "stacked" events), Canada (146), Mexico (131), South Korea (103), Australia (93), New Zealand (83), European Union (71 including approvals that have expired or under renewal process), Philippines (68), Taiwan (65), Colombia (59), China (55) and South Africa (52). Maize has the largest number (130 events in 27 countries), followed by cotton (49 events in 22 countries), potato (31 events in 26 countries), potato (31 events in 12 countries), and soybean (27 events in 26 countries), potato (31 events in 26 countries), followed by cotton (49 events in 20 countries), potato (31 events in 20 countries), followed by cotton (49 events in 20 countries), followed by cotton (49 events in 20 countries), potato (31 events in 20 countries),
followed by cotton (49 events in 20 countries), followed by cotton controversial since its introduction. Most, but not all of the controversies are over GM foods rather than crops per se. GM foods are the subject of protests, vandalism, referenda, legislation, court action[232] and scientific disputes. The controversies involve consumers, biotechnology companies, governmental regulators, non-governmental organizations and scientists. Opponents have objected to GM crops on multiple grounds including environmental impacts, food safety, whether GM crops are needed to address food needs, whether they are sufficiently accessible to farmers in developing countries[27] and concerns over subjecting crops to intellectual property law. Secondary issues include labeling, the behavior of government regulators, the effects of pesticide use and pesticide tolerance. A significant environmental concern about using genetically modified crops is possible cross-breeding with related crops, giving them advantages over naturally occurring varieties. One example is a glyphosate-resistant rice crop that crossbreeds with a weedy relative, giving the weed a competitive advantage. The transgenic hybrid had higher rates of photosynthesis, more shoots and flowers, and more seeds than the non-transgenic hybrid. [233] This demonstrates the possibility of ecosystem damage by GM crop usage. There is a scientific consensus[7][8][9][10] that currently consensus [7][8][9][10] that currently consens [7][8][9][10] that currently consensus [7][8][10] that c available food derived from GM crops poses no greater risk to human health than conventional food, [11][12][13][14][15] but that each GM food needs to be tested on a case-by-case basis before introduction. [16][17][18] Nonetheless, members of the public are much less likely than scientists to perceive GM foods as safe. [19][20][21][22] The legal and regulatory status of GM foods varies by country, with some nations banning or restricting them, and others permitting them with widely differing degrees of regulation.[234][235][24][25][26] GM crop labeling is required in many countries, although the United States Food and Drug Administration does not, nor does it distinguish between approved GM and non-GM foods.[237] The United States enacted a law that requires labeling regulations to be issued by July 2018. It allows indirect disclosure such as with a phone number, bar code, or web site.[238] Advocacy groups such as Center for Food Safety, Union of Concerned Scientists, Greenpeace and the World Wildlife Fund claim that risks related to GM food have not been adequately examined and should be labelled, and that regulatory authorities and scientific bodies are too closely tied to industry.[citation needed] Some studies have claimed that genetically modified crops can cause harm; [239][240] a 2016 review that reanalyzed the data from six of these studies found that conclusions about GM crop safety should be drawn from "the totality of the evidence... instead of far-fetched evidence from single studies". [241] Notes Food portal ^ a b No official public documentation available ^ No public documents References ^ a b c d e f g h "ISAAA 2013 Annual Report". ISAAA Brief 46-2013. 2013. Retrieved 6 August 2014. Executive Summary, Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops ^ a b Pellegrino E, Bedini S, Nuti M, Ercoli I (February 2018). "Impact of genetically engineered maize on agronomic, environmental and toxicological traits: a meta-analysis of 21 years of field data". Scientific Reports. 8 (1): 3113. Bibcode: 2018NatSR...8.3113P. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21284-2. PMC 5814441. PMID 29449686. ^ a b Smyth SJ (April 2020). "The human health benefits from GM crops". Plant Biotechnology Journal. 18 (4): 887-888. doi:10.1111/pbi.13261. PMC 7061863. PMID 31544299. ^ a b c Klümper W, Qaim M (2014). "A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops". PLOS ONE. 9 (11): e111629. Bibcode:2014PLoSO...9k1629K. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111629. PMC 4218791. PMID 25365303. ^ Pollack A (13 April 2010). "Study Says Overuse Threatens Gains From Modified Crops". The New York Times. ^ a b Perry ED, Ciliberto F, Hennessy DA, Moschini G (August 2016). "Genetically engineered crops and pesticide use in U.S. maize and soybeans". Science Advances. 2 (8): e1600850. Bibcode:2016SciA....2E0850P. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600850. PMC 5020710. PMID 27652335. ^ a b Nicolia A, Manzo A, Veronesi F, Rosellini D (March 2014). "An overview of the last 10 years of genetically engineered crop safety research" (PDF). Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. 34 (1): 77-88. doi:10.3109/07388551.2013.823595. PMID 24041244. S2CID 9836802. We have reviewed the scientific literature on GE crop safety for the last 10 years that catches the scientific consensus matured since GE plants became widely cultivated worldwide, and we can conclude that the scientific research conducted so far has not detected any significant hazard directly connected with the use of GM crops. The literature about Biodiversity and the GE food/feed consumption has sometimes resulted in animated debate regarding the suitability of the experimental designs, the choice of the statistical methods or the public accessibility of data. Such debate, even if positive and part of the natural process of review by the scientific community, has frequently been distorted by the media and often used politically and inappropriately in anti-GE crops campaigns. ^ a b "State of Food and Agriculture 2003-2004. Agriculture and foods of the Poor. Health and environmental impacts of transgenic crops and foods. derived from them have been judged safe to eat and the methods used to test their safety have been deemed appropriate. These conclusions represent the consistent with the views of the World Health Organization (WHO, 2002). These foods have been assessed for increased risks to human health by several national regulatory authorities (inter alia, Argentina, Brazil, Canada, China, the United Kingdom and the United Kingdom and the United States) using their national food safety procedures (ICSU). To date no verifiable untoward toxic or nutritionally deleterious effects resulting from the consumption of foods derived from genetically modified crops have been discovered anywhere in the world (GM Science Review Panel). Many millions of people have consumed foods derived from GM plants - mainly maize, soybean and oilseed rape - without any observed adverse effects (ICSU). ^ a b Ronald P (May 2011). "Plant genetics, sustainable agriculture and global food security". Genetics. 188 (1): 11-20. doi:10.1534/genetics.111.128553. PMC 3120150. PMID 21546547. There is broad scientific consensus that genetically engineered crops currently on the market are safe to eat. After 14 years of cultivation and a cumulative total of 2 billion acres planted, no adverse health or environmental effects have resulted from commercialization of genetically engineered crops (Board on Agriculture and Natural Resources, Committee on Environmental Impacts Associated with Commercialization of Transgenic Plants, National Research Council and the Joint Research Centre (the European Union's scientific and technical research laboratory and an integral part of the European Commission) have concluded that there is a comprehensive body of knowledge that adequately addresses the food safety issue of genetically engineered crops (Committee on Identifying and Assessing Unintended Effects of Genetically Engineered Foods on Human Health and National Research Council 2004; European Commission Joint Research Centre 2008). These and other recent reports conclude that the processes of genetic engineering and conventional breeding are no different in terms of unintended consequences to human health and the environment (European Commission Directorate-General for Research and Innovation 2010). ^ a b But see also:Domingo JL, Giné Bordonaba J (May 2011). "A literature review on the safety assessment of genetically modified plants" (PDF). Environment International. 37 (4): 734-42. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2011.01.003. PMID 21296423. In spite of this, the number of studies specifically focused on safety assessment of GM plants is still limited. However, it is important to remark that for the first time, a certain equilibrium in the number of varieties of GM products (mainly maize and soybeans) are as safe and nutritious as the respective conventional non-GM plant, and those raising still serious concerns, was observed. Moreover, it is worth mentioning that most of the studies demonstrating that GM foods are as nutritional and safe as those obtained by conventional breeding, have been performed by biotechnology companies or associates, which are also responsible of commercializing these GM plants. Anyhow, this represents a notable advance in comparison with the lack of studies published in recent years in scientific journals by those companies. Krimsky S (2015). "An Illusory Consensus behind GMO Health Assessment". Science, Technology, & Human Values. 40 (6): 883-914. doi:10.1177/0162243915598381. S2CID 40855100. I began this article with the testimonials from respected scientists that there is literally no scientific controversy over the health effects of GMOs. My investigation into the scientific literature tells another story. And contrast: Panchin AY, Tuzhikov AI (March 2017). "Published GMO studies find no evidence of harm when corrected for multiple comparisons". Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. 37 (2): 213-217. doi:10.3109/07388551.2015.1130684. PMID 26767435. S2CID 11786594. Here, we show that a number of articles some of which have strongly and negatively influenced the public opinion on GM crops and even provoked political actions, such as GMO embargo, share common flaws in the statistical evaluation of the data. Having accounted for these flaws, we conclude that the data presented in these articles suggesting possible harm of GMOs received
high public attention. However, despite their claims, they actually weaken the evidence for the harm and lack of substantial equivalency of studied GMOs. We emphasize that with over 1783 published articles on GMOs over the last 10 years it is expected that some of them should have reported undesired differences between GMOs and conventional crops even if no such differences exist in reality.andYang YT, Chen B (April 2016). "Governing GMOs in the USA: science, law and public health". Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 96 (6): 1851-5. doi:10.1002/jsfa.7523. PMID 26536836. It is therefore not surprising that efforts to require labeling and to ban GMOs have been a growing political issue in the USA (citing Domingo and Bordonaba, 2011). Overall, a broad scientific consensus holds that currently marketed GM food poses no greater risk than conventional food... Major national and international science and medical association for the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the World Health Organization, and many independent international science organizations agree that GMOs are just as safe as other foods. Compared with conventional breeding techniques, genetic engineering is far more precise and, in most cases, less likely to create an unexpected outcome. ^ a b "Statement by the AAAS Board of Directors On Labeling of Genetically Modified Foods" (PDF). American Association for the Advancement of Science. 20 October 2012. Retrieved 30 August 2019. The EU, for example, has invested more than €300 million in research on the biosafety of GMOs. Its recent report states: "The main conclusion to be drawn from the efforts of more than 130 research projects, covering a period of more than 25 years of research and involving more than 500 independent research groups, is that biotechnology, and in particular GMOs, are not per se more risky than e.g. conventional plant breeding technology, and in particular GMOs, are not per se more risky than e.g. conventional plant breeding technologies." The World Health Organization, the American Medical Association, the U.S. National Academy of Sciences, the British Royal Society, and every other respected organization that has examined the evidence has come to the same conclusion: consuming foods containing ingredients from GM crops is no riskier than consuming the same foods containing ingredients derived from GM crops is no riskier than consuming the same conclusion: techniques.Pinholste G (25 October 2012). "AAAS Board of Directors: Legally Mandating GM Food Labels Could "Mislead and Falsely Alarm Consumers"" (PDF). American Association for the Advancement of Science. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b European Commission. Directorate-General for Research (2010). A decade of EU-funded GMO research (2001-2010) (PDF). Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. Biotechnologies, Agriculture, Food. European Union. doi:10.2777/97784. ISBN 978-92-79-16344-9. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b "AMA Report on Genetically Modified Crops and Foods (online summary)". American Medical Association. January 2001. Retrieved 30 August 2019. A report issued by the scientific council of the American Medical Association (AMA) says that no long-term health effects have been detected from the use of transgenic crops and genetically modified foods, and that these prepared by ISAAA)" "Crops and foods produced using recombinant DNA techniques have been available for fewer than 10 years and no long-term effects have been detected to date. These foods are substantially equivalent to their conventional counterparts. (from original report by AMA: [1])"REPORT 2 OF THE COUNCIL ON SCIENCE AND PUBLIC HEALTH (A-12): Labeling of Bioengineered Foods" (PDF). American Medical Association. 2012. Archived from the original (PDF) on 7 September 2012. Retrieved 30 August 2019. Bioengineered foods have been reported and/or substantiated in the peer-reviewed literature. ^ a b "Restrictions on Genetically Modified Organisms: United States. Public and Scholarly Opinion". Library of Congress. 30 June 2015. Retrieved 30 August 2019. Several scientific organizations in the US have issued studies or statements regarding the safety of GMOs indicating that there is no evidence that GMOs present unique safety risks compared to conventionally bred products. These include the National Research Council, the American Association. Groups in the US opposed to GMOs include some environmental organizations, organic farming organizations, and consumer organizations. A substantial number of legal academics have criticized the US's approach to regulating GMOs. ^ a b National Academies Of Sciences; Committee on Genetically Engineered Crops: Past Experience Future Prospects (2016). Genetically Engineered Crops: Experiences and Prospects. The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (US). p. 149. doi:10.17226/23395. ISBN 978-0-309-43738-7. PMID 28230933. Retrieved 30 August 2019. Overall finding on purported adverse effects on human health of foods derived from GE crops: On the basis of detailed examination of comparisons of currently commercialized GE with non-GE foods in compositional analysis, acute and chronic animal toxicity tests, long-term data on health of livestock fed GE foods, and human epidemiological data, the committee found no differences that implicate a higher risk to human health from GE foods than from their non-GE counterparts. a b "Frequently asked questions on genetically modified foods". World Health Organization. Retrieved 30 August 2019. Different GM organisms include different genes inserted in different genes and that it is not possible to make general statements on the safety of all GM foods.GM foods currently available on the international market have passed safety assessments and are not likely to present risks for human health. In addition, no effects on human health have been shown as a result of the consumption of such foods by the general population in the countries where they have been approved. Continuous application of safety assessments based on the Codex Alimentarius principles and, where appropriate, adequate post market monitoring, should form the basis for ensuring the safety of GM foods. ^ a b Haslberger AG (July 2003). "Codex guidelines for GM foods include the analysis of unintended effects". Nature Biotechnology. 21 (7): 739-41. doi:10.1038/nbt0703-739. PMID 12833088. S2CID 2533628. These principles dictate a case-by-case premarket assessment that includes an evaluation of both direct and unintended effects. ^ a b Some medical organizations, including the British Medical Association, advocate further caution based upon the precautionary principle: "Genetically modified foods and health: a second interim statement" (PDF). British Medical Association. March 2004. Retrieved 30 August 2019. In our view, the potential for GM foods to cause harmful health effects is very small and many of the concerns expressed apply with equal vigour to conventionally derived foods. However, safety concerns cannot, as yet, be dismissed completely on the basis of information currently available. When seeking to optimise the balance between benefits and risks, it is prudent to err on the side of caution must be examined for possible benefits and risks to human health and the environment. As with all novel foods, safety assessments in relation to GM foods must be made on a case-by-case basis. Members of the GM jury project were briefed on various aspects of genetic modification by a diverse group of acknowledged experts in the relevant subjects. The GM jury reached the conclusion that the sale of GM foods currently available should be halted and the moratorium on commercial growth of GM crops should be continued. These conclusions were based on the precautionary principle and lack of evidence of any benefit. The Jury expressed concern over the impact of GM crops on farming, the environment, food safety and other potential health effects. The Royal Society review (2002) concluded that the risks to human health associated with the use of specific viral DNA sequences in GM plants are negligible, and while calling for caution in the introduction of potential allergens into food crops, stressed the absence of evidence that commercially available GM foods cause clinical allergic manifestations. The BMA shares the view that there is no robust evidence to prove that GM foods are unsafe but we endorse the call for further research and surveillance to provide convincing evidence of safety and benefit. ^ a b Funk C, Rainie L (29 January 2015). "Public and Sciencies the call for further research and surveillance to provide convincing evidence of safety and benefit. ^ a b Funk C, Rainie L (29 January 2015). "Public and Science and Society". Pew Research Center. Retrieved 30 August 2019. The largest differences between the public, a difference of 51 percentage points. ^ a b Marris C (July 2001). "Public views on GMOs: deconstructing the myths. Stakeholders in the GMO debate often describe public opinion as irrational. But do they really understand the public?". EMBO Reports. 2 (7): 545-8. doi:10.1093/embo-reports/kve142. PMC 1083956. PMID 11463731. ^ a b Final Report of the PABE research project (December 2001). "Public Perceptions of Agricultural Biotechnologies in Europe". Commission of European Communities. Archived from the original on 25 May 2017. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b Scott SE, Inbar Y, Rozin P (May 2016). "Evidence for Absolute Moral Opposition to Genetically Modified Food in the United States" (PDF). Perspectives on

Psychological Science. 11 (3): 315-24. doi:10.1177/1745691615621275. PMID 27217243. S2CID 261060. ^ a b "Restrictions on Genetically Modified Organisms". Library of Congress. 9 June 2015. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b Bashshur R (February 2013). "FDA and Regulation of GMOs". American Bar Association. Archived from the original on 21 June 2018. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b Sifferlin A (3 October 2015). "Over Half of E.U. Countries Are Opting Out of GMOs". Time. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b Lynch D, Vogel D (5 April 2001). "The Regulation of GMOs in Europe and the United States: A Case-Study of Contemporary European Regulatory Politics". Council on Foreign Relations. Archived from the original on 29 September 2016. Retrieved 30 August 2019. ^ a b Azadi H, Samiee A, Mahmoudi H, Jouzi Z, Khachak PR, De Maeyer P, Witlox F (2016). "Genetically modified crops and small-scale farmers: main opportunities and challenges". Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. 36 (3): 434-46. doi:10.3109/07388551.2014.990413. hdl:1854/LU-7022459. PMID 25566797. S2CID 46117952. ^ Zohary D, Hopf M, Weiss E (1 March 2012). Domesticated Plants in Southwest Asia, Europe, and the Mediterranean Basin. OUP Oxford. p. 1. ISBN 978-0-19-954906-1. ^ "The history of maize cultivation in southern Mexico dates back 9,000 years". The New York Times. 25 May 2010. Colledge S, Conolly J (2007). The Origins and Europe. p. 40. ISBN 978-1598749885. Chen ZJ (February 2010). "Molecular mechanisms of polyploidy and hybrid vigor". Trends in Plant Science. 15 (2): 57-71. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2009.12.003. PMC 2821985. PMID 20080432. A Hoisington D, Khairallah M, Reeves T, Ribaut JM, Skovmand B, Taba S, Warburton M (May 1999). "Plant genetic resources: what can they contribute toward increased crop productivity?". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 96 (11): 5937-43. Bibcode:1999PNAS...96.5937H. doi:10.1073/pnas.96.11.5937. PMC 34209. PMID 10339521. ^ Predieri S (2001). "Mutation induction and tissue culture in improving fruits". Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture. 64 (2/3): 185-210. doi:10.1023/A:1010623203554. S2CID 37850239. ^ Duncan R (1996). "Tissue Culture-Induced Variation and Crop Improvement". Advances in Agronomy. 58. pp. 201-40. doi:10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60256-4. ISBN 9780120007585. ^ Roberts RJ (April 2005). "How restriction enzymes became the workhorses of molecular biology". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 102 (17): 5905-8. Bibcode:2005PNAS..102.5905R. doi:10.1073/pnas.0500923102. PMC 1087929. PMID 15840723. ^ Weiss B, Richardson CC (April 1967). "Enzymatic breakage and joining of deoxyribonucleic acid, I. Repair of single-strand breaks in DNA by an enzyme system from Escherichia coli infected with T4 bacteriophage". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 57 (4): 1021-8. Bibcode:1967PNAS...57.1021W. doi:10.1073/pnas.57.4.1021. PMC 224649. PMID 5340583. ^ Lederberg J (October 1952). "Cell genetics and hereditary symbiosis" (PDF). Physiological Reviews. 32 (4): 403-30. doi:10.1152/physrev.1952.32.4.403. PMID 13003535. ^ Nester E (2008) "Agrobacterium: The Natural Genetic Engineer (100 Years Later)". Archived from the original on 19 October 2012. Active of the introduction of DNA into plant cells without alteration of their normal regeneration capacity". The EMBO Journal. 2 (12): 2143-50. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1983.tb01715.x. PMC 555426. PMID 16453482. ^ Peters P. "Transforming Plants - Basic Genetic Engineering Techniques". Archived from the original on 16 March 2010. Retrieved 28 January 2010. ^ Voiland M, McCandless L (February 1999). "Development Of The "Gene Gun" At Cornell". Archived from the original on 1 May 2008. ^ Segelken R (14 May 1987). "Biologists Invent Gun for Shooting Cells with DNA Issue" (PDF). Cornell Chronicle. 18 (33): 3. ^ "Timelines: 1987: Next The gene gun". lifesciencesfoundation.org. Archived from the original on 30 March 2013. ^ Clough SJ, Bent AF (December 1998). "Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana". The Plant Journal. 16 (6): 735-43. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x. PMID 10069079. ^ Jiang W, Zhou H, Bi H, Fromm M, Yang B, Weeks DP (November 2013). "Demonstration of CRISPR/Cas9/sgRNA-mediated targeted gene modification in Arabidopsis, tobacco, sorghum and rice". Nucleic Acids Research. 41 (20): e188. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt780. PMID 23999092. Chemaux PG (2008). "Genetically Engineered Plants and Foods: A Scientist's Analysis of the Issues (Part I)". Annual Review of Plant Biology. 59: 771-812. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103840. PMID 18284373. Bevan MW, Flavell RB Chilton MD (1983). "A chimaeric antibiotic resistance gene as a selectable marker for plant cell transformation. 1983". Biotechnology. 24 (5922): 367-70. Bibcode: 1983Natur. 304.184B. doi:10.1038/304184a0. PMID 1422041. S2CID 28713537. ^ a b James C (1996). "Global Review of the Field Testing and Commercialization of Transgenic Plants: 1986 to 1995" (PDF). The International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications. Retrieved 17 July 2010. Vaeck M, Reynaerts A, Höfte H, Jansens S, De Beuckeleer M, Dean C, et al. (1987). "Transgenic plants protected from insect attack". Nature. 328 (6125): 33-37. Bibcode: 1987Natur. 328...33V. doi:10.1038/328033a0. S2CID 4310501. ^ James C (1997). "Global Status of Transgenic Crops in 1997" (PDF). ISAAA Briefs No. 5: 31. ^ a b Bruening G, Lyons JM (2000). "The case of the FLAVR SAVR tomato". California Agriculture. 54 (4): 6-7. doi:10.3733/ca.v054n04p6. ^ MacKenzie D (18 June 1994). "Transgenic tobacco is European first". New Scientist. ^ "Genetically contents". California Agriculture. 54 (4): 6-7. doi:10.3733/ca.v054n04p6. ^ MacKenzie D (18 June 1994). "Transgenic tobacco is European first". New Scientist. ^ "Genetically contents". Altered Potato Ok'd For Crops". Lawrence Journal. 6 May 1995. ^ a b c d James C (2011). "ISAAA Briefs. Ithaca, New York: International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA). Retrieved 2 June 2012. ^ Vàzquez-Salat N, Salter B, Smets G, Houdebing LM (1 November 2012). "The current state of GMO governance: are we ready for GM animals?". Biotechnology Advances. Special issue on ACB 2011. 30 (6): 1336-43. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2012.02.006. PMID 22361646. ^ "Aquabounty Cleared to Sell Salmon in USA for Commercial Purposes". 25 April 2019. ^ Bodnar A (October 2010). "Risk Assessment and Mitigation of AquAdvantage Salmon" (PDF). ISB News Report. ^ Boyle R (24 January 2011). "How To Genetically Modify a Seed, Step By Step". Popular Science. ^ "Bombarded at Dictionary.com". Dictionary.com". Combarded at Dictionary.com of Comparison of Com promising approach crossing barriers". Plant Biotechnology Journal. 4 (6): 575-603. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7652.2006.00209.x. PMID 17309731. A Halford NG (2012). Genetically modified crops. World Scientific (Firm) (2nd ed.). London: Imperial College Press. ISBN 978-1848168381. OCLC 785724094. Maghari BM, Ardekani AM (July 2011). "Genetically modified foods and social concerns". Avicenna Journal of Medical Biotechnology. 3 (3): 109-17. PMC 3558185. PMID 23408723. ^ "Information Systems for Biotechnology News Report". ^ Catchpole GS, Beckmann M, Enot DP, Mondhe M, Zywicki B, Taylor J, et al. (October 2005). "Hierarchical metabolomics demonstrates substantial compositional similarity between genetically modified and conventional potato crops" (PDF). Proceedings of the United States of America. 102 (40): 14458-62. Bibcode: 2005PNAS..10214458C. doi:10.1073/pnas.0503955102. PMC 1242293. PMID 16186495. ^ Koornneef M, Meinke D (March 2010). "The development of Arabidopsis as a model plant". The Plant Journal. 61 (6): 909-21. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04086.x. PMID 20409266. ^ a b Banjara M, Zhu L, Shen G, Payton P, Zhang H (1 January 2012). "Expression of an Arabidopsis sodium/proton antiporter gene (AtNHX1) in peanut to improve salt tolerance". Plant Biotechnology Reports. 6: 59-67. doi:10.1007/s11816-011-0200-5. S2CID 12025029. McKie R. "GM corn set to stop man spreading his seed". the Guardian. Walmsley AM, Arntzen CJ (April 2000). "Plants for delivery of edible vaccines". Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 11 (2): 126-9. doi:10.1016/S0958-1669(00)00070-7. PMID 10753769. Podevin N, du Jardin P (2012). "Possible consequences of the overlap between the CaMV 35S promoter regions in plant transformation vectors used and the viral gene VI in transgenic plants". GM Crops & Food. 3 (4): 296-300. doi:10.4161/gmcr.21406. PMID 22892689. A Maxmen A (2 May 2012). "First plant-made drug on the market". Nature, Biology & Biotechnology, Industry ^ NWT magazine, April 2011 ^ Hibberd J. "Molecular Physiology". Department of Plant Sciences. University of Cambridge. ^ Price GD, Badger MR, Woodger FJ, Long BM (2008). "Advances in understanding the cyanobacterial CO2-concentrating-mechanism (CCM): functional components, Ci transporters, diversity, genetic regulation and prospects for engineering into plants". Journal of Experimental Botany. 59 (7): 1441-61. doi:10.1093/jxb/erm112. PMID 17578868. Conzalez N, De Bodt S, Sulpice R, Jikumaru Y, Chae E, Dhondt S, et al. (July 2010). "Increased leaf size: different means to an end". Plant Physiology. 153 (3): 1261-79. doi:10.1104/pp.110.156018. PMC 2899902. PMID 20460583 ^ Koenig D, Bayer E, Kang J, Kuhlemeier C, Sinha N (September 2009). "Auxin patterns Solanum lycopersicum leaf morphogenesis". Development. 136 (17): 2997-3006. doi:10.1242/dev.033811. PMID 19666826. ^ "Projects changing respectively plant growth and plant flowers" (PDF). ^ "Project changing number of stomata in plants conducted by Ikuko Hara-Nishimura" (PDF). ^ "One Per Cent: Grow your own living lights". The New Scientist. 4 May 2013. ^ MacKenzie D (2 August 2008). "How the humble potato could feed the world". New Scientist. pp. 30-33. ^ Talbot D (19 July 2014). "Beijing Researchers Use Gene Editing to Create Disease-Resistant Wheat | MIT Technology Review". Technologyreview.com. Retrieved 23 July 2014. ^ Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu JL (September 2014). "Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew". Nature Biotechnology. 32 (9): 947-51. doi:10.1038/nbt.2969. PMID 25038773. S2CID 205280231. Waltz E (April 2016). "Gene-edited CRISPR mushroom escapes US regulation". Nature. 532 (7599): 293. Bibcode: 2016Natur. 532...293W. doi:10.1038/nature.2016.19754. PMID 27111611. ^ Brodwin E (18 April 2016). "The next generation of GMO food is here, and it's technically not a GMO". Business Insider. ^ Sun X, Mumm RH (2015). "Optimized breeding strategies for multiple trait integration: III. Parameters for success in version testing". Molecular Breeding. 35 (10): 201. doi:10.1007/s11032-015-0397-z. PMC 4605974. PMID 26491398. ^ "Economic Impact of Transgenic Crops in Developing Countries". Agbioworld.org. Retrieved 8 February 2011. ^ Areal FJ, Riesgo L, Rodríguez-Cerezo EC, and the standard of Transgenic Crops in Developing Countries". (2012). "Economic and agronomic impact of commercialized GM crops: A meta-analysis". The Journal of Agricultural Science. 151: 7-33. doi:10.1017/S0021859612000111. ^ Finger R, El Benni N, Kaphengst T, Evans C, Herbert S, Lehmann B, Morse S, Stupak N (2011). "A Meta Analysis on Farm-Level Costs and Benefits of GM Crops" (PDF). Sustainability. 3 (12): 743-62. doi:10.3390/su3050743. ^ Hutchison WD, Burkness EC, Mitchell PD, Moon RD, Leslie TW, Fleischer SJ, et al. (October 2010). "Areawide suppression of European corn borer with Bt maize reaps savings to non-Bt maize growers". Science. 330 (6001): 222-5. Bibcode:2010Sci...330..222H. doi:10.1126/science.1190242. PMID 20929774. S2CID 238816. ^ Karnowski S (8 October 2010). "High-Tech Corn Fights Pests at Home and Nearby". Sci-Tech Today. Retrieved 9 October 2010). "Surplus Distribution from the Introduction of a Biotechnology Innovation". American Journal of Agricultural Economics. 82 (2): 360-69. doi:10.1111/0002-9092.00031. [STOR 1244657. S2CID 153595694. ^ a b James C (2014). "Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: global socio-economic and environmental impacts 1996-2010 (PDF). PG Economics Ltd. ^ Smale M, Zambrano P, Carte M (2006). "Bales and balance: A review of the methods used to assess the economic impact of Bt cotton on farmers in developing economies" (PDF). AgBioForum. 9 (3): 195-212. ^ European Academies Science Advisory Council (EASAC) (27 June 2013). "Planting the future: opportunities and challenges for using crop genetic improvement technologies for sustainable agriculture". EASAC Policy Report: 21. ^ a b Tilling T, Neeta L, Vikuolie M, Rajib D (2010). "Genetically modified (GM) crops lifeline for livestock-a review". Agricultural Reviews. 31 (4): 279-85. ^ Langreth R, Herper M (31 December 2009). "The Planet Versus Monsanto". Forbes. ^ Cavallaro M (26 June 2009). "The Seeds Of A Monsanto Short Play". Forbes. ^ Regalado A (30 July 2015). "Monsanto Roundup Ready Soybean Patent Expiration Ushers in Generic GMOs | MIT Technology Review". MIT Technology Review". MIT Technology Review. Retrieved 22 October 2015. ^ "Monsanto Will Let Bio-Crop Patents Expire". Business Week. 21 January 2010. ^ "Roundup Ready Soybean Patent Expiration". Monsanto. ^ "Monsanto.com. 3 November 2008. ^ "Monsanto.com. 3 November 2008. ^ "Syngenta US | Corn and Soybean Seed - Garst, Golden Harvest, NK, Agrisure". Syngenta.com. ^ "Genetically modified crops - Field research". Economist. 8 November 2014. Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ Gurian-Sherman, Douglas (April 2009). Failure To Yield - Evaluating the Performance of Genetically Engineered Crops (PDF). Union of Concerned Scientists. S2CID 6332194. ^ "SeedQuest - Central information website for the global seed industry". www.seedquest.com. ^ "Bt Brinjal in India - Pocket K - ISAAA.org". www.isaaa.org. ^ Weasel LH (December 2008). Food Fray. New York: Amacom Publishing. ISBN 978-0-8144-3640-0. ^ a b c d e Pollack A (7 November 2014). "U.S.D.A. Approves Modified Potato. Next Up: French Fry Fans". The New York Times. ^ a b c "J.R. Simplot Co.; Availability of Petition for Determination of Nonregulated Status of Potato Genetically Engineered for Low Acrylamide Potential and Reduced Black Spot Bruise". Federal Register. 3 May 2013. ^ a b Pollack A (13 February 2015). "Gene-Altered Apples Get U.S. Approval". The New York Times. ^ Tennille T (13 February 2015). "First Genetically Modified Apple Approved for Sale in U.S." Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ "Apple-to-apple transformation". Okanagan Specialty Fruits. Archived from the original on 25 September 2013. Retrieved 3 August 2012. ^ "Arctic apples FAQ". Arctic Apples 2014. Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ "FDA concludes Arctic Apples and Innate Potatoes are safe for consumption". United States Food and Drug Administration. 20 March 2015. ^ a b Kromdijk J, Głowacka K, Leonelli L, Gabilly ST, Iwai M, Niyogi KK, Long SP (November 2016). "Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from photoprotection". Science. 354 (6314): 857-861. Bibcode: 2016Sci...354..857K. doi:10.1126/science.aai8878. PMID 27856901. ^ Devlin H (17 November 2016). "Plants modified to boost photosynthesis produce greater yields, study shows". The Guardian. Retrieved 27 July 2019. ^ Thompson S (24 January 2017). "How GM crops can help us to feed a fast-growing world". The Conversation. ^ "Advanced genetic tools could help boost crop yields and feed billions more people". ^ Best S (24 October 2017). "Supercharged' GMO rice could increase yields 50 percent with improved photosynthesis". ^ Karki S, Rizal G, Quick WP (October 2013). "Improvement of photosynthesis in rice (Oryza sativa L.) by inserting the C4 pathway". Rice. 6 (1): 28. doi:10.1186/1939-8433-6-28. PMC 4883725. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 23812345. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 23812345. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 23812345. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving Photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving Photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving Photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving Photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. 162 (4): 1780-93. doi:10.1104/pp.113.219006. PMC 3729760. PMID 24280149. Cevans JR (August 2013). "Improving Photosynthesis". Plant Physiology. PMC 3729760. PMID 3729760. PMID 3729760. PMID 3729760. PMID 3729760. PMID 3729760. PMID "Crop plants - "green factories" for fish oils". Rothamsted Research. 14 November 2013. ^ Ruiz-Lopez N, Haslam RP, Napier JA, Sayanova O (January 2014). "Successful high-level accumulation of fish oil omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in a transgenic oilseed crop". The Plant Journal. 77 (2): 198–208. doi:10.1111/tpj.12378. PMC 4253037. PMID 24308505. ^ "About Golden Rice". International Rice Research Institute. Archived from the original on 2 November 2012. A Nayar A (2011). "Grants aim to fight malnutrition". Nature. doi:10.1038/news.2011.233. PMID 24308505. ^ "About Golden Rice". International Rice Research Institute. Archived from the original on 2 November 2012. Retrieved 20 August 2012. ^ Nayar A (2011). "Grants aim to fight malnutrition". Nature. doi:10.1038/news.2011.233. ^ Philpott T (3 February 2016). "WTF Happened to Golden Rice". Mother Jones. Retrieved 24 March 2016. ^ Sayre R, Beeching JR, Cahoon EB, Egesi C, Fauquet C, Fellman J, et al. (2011). "The BioCassava plus program: biofortification of cassava for sub-Saharan Africa". Annual Review of Plant Biology. 62: 251-72. doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103751. PMID 21526968. ^ Paarlburg RD (January 2011). Maize in Africa". Anticipating Regulatory Hurdles (PDF). International Life Sciences Institute (Report). Archived from the original (PDF) on 22 December 2014. ^ "Australia continues to test drought-resistant GM wheat". GMO Compass. 16 July 2008. Archived from the original on 16 March 2012. Retrieved 25 April 2011. ^ Staff (14 May 2011). "USA: USDA allows large-scale GM eucalyptus trial". GMO Compass. Archived from the original on 26 October 2012. Retrieved 29 September 2011. ^ Eisenstein M (September 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S...7E. doi:10.1038/501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S...7E. doi:10.1038/501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S...7E. doi:10.1038/501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S...7E. doi:10.1038/501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S...7E. doi:10.1038/501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature. 501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatiss J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature.501 (7468): S7-9. Bibcode: 2013Natur.501S7a. PMID 24067764. S2CID 4464117. ^ Gabbatist J (4 December 2013). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature.501 (7468). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature.501 (7468). "Plant breeding: Discovery in a dry spell". Nature 2017). "Scientists aim to develop drought-resistant crops using genetic engineering". Independent. ^ Liang C (2016). "Genetically modified crops with drought tolerance: achievements, challenges, and perspectives.". Drought Stress Tolerance in Plants. 2. Cham.: Springer. pp. 531-547. ^ "Biotechnology with Salinity for Coping in Problem Soils" International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA). ^ Sawahel W (22 July 2009). "Genetic change could make crops thrive on salty soils". SciDev.Net. ^ a b Carpenter J, Gianessi L (1999). "Herbicide tolerant soybeans: Why growers are adopting Roundup Ready varieties". AgBioForum. 2 (2): 65–72. ^ Heck GR, Armstrong CL, Astwood JD, Behr CF, Bookout JT, Brown SM, et al. (1 January 2005). "Development and Characterization of a CP4 EPSPS-Based, Glyphosate-Tolerant Corn Event". Crop Sci. 45 (1): 329-39. doi:10.2135/cropsci2005.0329. Archived from the original (Free full text) on 22 August 2009. ^ Funke T, Han H, Healy-Fried ML, Fischer M, Schönbrunn E (August 2006). "Molecular basis for the herbicide resistance of Roundup Ready crops". Proceedings of the United States of America. 103 (35): 13010-5. Bibcode: 2006PNAS..10313010F. doi:10.1073/pnas.0603638103. PMC 1559744. PMID 16916934. ^ MacKenzie D (18 June 1994). "Transgenic tobacco is European first". New Scientist. ^ Gianessi LP, Silvers CS, Sankula S, Carpenter JE (June 2002). Plant biotechnology: current and potential impact for improving pest management in US agriculture: an analysis of 40 case studies (PDF). Washington, DC: National Center for Food and Agriculture: an analysis of 40 case studies (PDF). J (8 September 2011). "Attack of the Superweed". Bloomberg Businessweek. [permanent dead link] ^ Ganchiff M (24 August 2013). "New Herbicide Resistant Crops Being Considered By USDA". Midwest Wine Press. ^ a b "Gene list: aad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" ad1". ISAAA GM Approval Database. Retrieved 27 February 2015. ^ "EPA Announces Final Decision to Register Enlist" Duo, Herbicide Containing 2, 4-D and Glyphosate/Risk assessment ensures protection of human health, including infants, children". EPA Press Release. 15 October 2014. ^ "EPA Documents: Registration of Enlist Duo". ^ Peterson MA, Shan G, Walsh TA, Wright TR (May 2011). "Utility of Aryloxyalkanoate Dioxygenase Transgenes for Development of New Herbicide Resistant Crop Technologies" (PDF). Information Systems for Biotechnology. ^ Schultz C (25 September 2014). "The USDA Approved a New GM Crops". The Smithsonian.com. ^ Johnson WG, Hallett SG, Legleiter TR, Whitford F, Weller SC, Bordelon BP, et al. (November 2012). "2,4-D- and Dicamba-tolerant Crops - Some Facts to Consider" (PDF). Purdue University Extension. Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ Bomgardner MM. "Widespread crop damage from dicamba herbicide fuels controversy - August 21, 2017 Issue - Vol. 95 Issue 33 - Chemical & Engineering News". cen.acs.org. ^ "Iowa Soybeans: Dicamba - How Many Hours Were Available to Spray in 2017?". AgFax. 19 September 2017. ^ "Pest & Crop Newsletter". extension.entm.purdue.edu. Purdue Cooperative Extension Service. Retrieved 1 October 2017. ^ "Genetically Altered Potato Ok'd For Crops]". Lawrence Journal-World. 6 May 1995. ^ Vaeck M, Reynaerts A, Höfte H, Jansens S, De Beuckeleer M, Dean C, et al. (1987). "Transgenic plants protected from insect attack". Nature. 328 (6125): 33-37. Bibcode: 1987Natur. 328...33V. doi:10.1038/328033a0. S2CID 4310501. ^ Naranjo S (22 April 2008). "The Present and Future Role of Insect-Resistant Genetically Modified Cotton in IPM" (PDF). USDA.gov. United States department of agriculture. Retrieved 3 December 2015. ^ National Academy of Sciences (2001). Transgenic Plants and World Agriculture. Washington: National Academy Press. ^ Kipp E (February 2000). "Genetically Altered Papayas Save the Harvest". Botany Global Issues Map. Archived from the original on 13 December 2004. ^ "The Rainbow Papaya Story". Hawaii Papaya Industry Association. 2006. Archived from the original on 7 January 2015. Retrieved 27 December 2014. ^ Ronald P, McWilliams J (14 May 2010). "Production Of UH Sunup Transgenic Papaya Seed In Hawaii" (PDF). Hawaii Agriculture Research Center. Archived from the original (PDF) on 31 March 2012. ^ "Genetically Engineered Foods - Plant Virus Resistance" (PDF). Cornell University of California. 16 February 2012. Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ "How Many Foods Are Genetically Engineered?". University of California. 16 February 2012. Retrieved 3 October 2016. 2016. ^ Wang GY (2009). "Genetic Engineering for Maize Improvement in China". Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. Retrieved 1 December 2015. ^ Weinreb G, Yeshayahou K (2 May 2012). "FDA approves Protalix Gaucher treatment". Globes. Archived from the original on 29 May 2013. ^ Jha A (14 August 2012). "Julian Ma: I'm growing antibodies in tobacco plants to help prevent HIV". The Guardian. Retrieved 12 March 2012. ^ "Singapore Biodiesel Company Develops GM Jatropha- Crop Biotech Update". www.isaaa.org. ^ Lochhead C (30 April 2012). "Genetically modified crops' results raise concern". The San Francisco Chronicle. ^ "Wout Boerjan Lab". VIB (Flemish Institute for Biotechnology) Gent. 2013. A cross CL, Mazaheri M, Sekhon RS, Heckwolf M, Kaeppler H, de Leon N, Mansfield SD, Kaeppler H, SM, Sedbrook JC, Karlen SD, Ralph J (2017). "Suppression of CINNAMOYL-CoA REDUCTASE increases the level of monolignol ferulates incorporated into maize lignins". Biotechnology for Biofuels. 10: 109. doi:10.1186/s13068-017-0793-1. PMC 5414125. PMID 28469705. ^ Wilkerson CG, Mansfield SD, Lu F, Withers S, Park JY, Karlen SD, Gonzales-Vigil E, Padmakshan D, Unda F, Rencoret J, Ralph J (April 2014). "Monolignol ferulate transferase introduces chemically labile linkages into the lignin backbone". Science. 344 (6179): 90-3. Bibcode:2014Sci...344...90W. doi:10.1126/science.1250161. hdl:10261/95743. PMID 24700858. S2CID 25429319. Lay summary – New Scientist. ^ van Beilen JB, Poirier Y (May 2008). "Production of renewable polymers from crop plants". The Plant Journal. 54 (4): 684-701. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313x.2008.03431.x. PMID 18476872. ^ "The History and Future of GM Potatoes". PotatoPro Newsletter. 10 March 2010. ^ Conrow J (14 January 2021). "GM plant grows insect sex pheromones as alternative to crop pesticides". Alliance for Science. Retrieved 17 July 2021. ^ Strange A (20 September 2011). "Scientists engineer plants to eat toxic pollution". The British Science Association. Archived from the original on 24 July 2012. Retrieved 20 September 2011. ^ a b Chard A (2011). "Growing a grass that loves bombs". The British Science Association. Archived from the original on 24 July 2012. Retrieved 20 September 2011. ^ a b Chard A (2011). "Growing a grass that loves bombs". 2011. ^ Langston J (22 November 2016). "New grasses neutralize toxic pollution from bombs, explosives, and munitions". ScienceDaily. Retrieved 30 November 2016. ^ Meagher RB (April 2000). "Phytoremediation of toxic elemental and organic pollutants". Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 3 (2): 153-62. doi:10.1016/S1369-5266(99)00054-0. PMID 10712958. ^ Martins VA (2008). "Genomic Insights into Oil Biodegradation in Marine Systems". Microbial Biodegradation: Genomics and Molecular Biology. Caister Academic Press. ISBN 978-1-904455-17-2.[permanent dead link] ^ Daniel C (1 March 2003). "Corn That Clones Itself". Technology Review. ^ Kwon CT, Heo J, Lemmon ZH, Capua Y, Hutton SF, Van Eck J, Park SJ, Lippman ZB (February 2020). "Rapid customization of Solanaceae fruit crops for urban agriculture". Nature Biotechnology. 38 (2): 182-188. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0361-2. PMID 31873217. S2CID 209464229. Ueta R, Abe C, Watanabe T, Sugano SS, Ishihara R, Ezura H, Osakabe Y, Osakabe K (March 2017). "Rapid breeding of parthenocarpic tomato plants using CRISPR/Cas9". Scientific Reports. 7 (1): 507. Bibcode:2017NatSR...7..507U. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00501-4. PMC 5428692. PMID 28360425. Lay summary – New Scientist. ^ a b c d e f g h i j k l m n "All the GMOs Approved In the U.S." TIME.com. Retrieved 11 February 2016. ^ "UPDATE 3-U.S. farmers get approval to plant GMO alfalfa". Reuters. 27 January 2011. Retrieved 11 February 2016. 2016. ^ "Infographics: Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2014 - ISAAA Brief 49-2014 | ISAAA.org". www.isaaa.org. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ a b Kilman S. "Modified Beet Gets New Life". Kilman S. "Modified ISSN 0362-4331. Retrieved 15 February 2016. ^ "Facts and trends - India" (PDF). International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications. ^ "Executive Summary: Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2014 - ISAAA Brief 49-2014 | ISAAA.org". www.isaaa.org. Retrieved 16 February 2016. ^ "Facts and trends-Mexico" (PDF). International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications. ^ "Facts and trends - Colombia" (PDF). International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications. ^ Carter C, Moschini GC, Sheldon I, eds. (2011). Genetically Modified Food and Global Welfare (Frontiers of Economics and Globalization). United Kingdom: Emerald Group Publishing Limited. p. 89. ISBN 978-0857247575. * "GM potato to be grown in Europe". The Guardian. Associated Press. 3 March 2010. ISSN 0261-3077. Retrieved 15 February 2016. * a b c d Fernandez-Cornejo J, Wechsler S, Livingston M, Mitchell L (February 2014). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States (summary)" (PDF). Economic Research Service USDA. United States Department of Agriculture, p. 2. Archived from the original (PDF) on 27 November 2014. Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Morin S, Sisterson MS, Roush RT, et al. (August 2003). "Insect resistance to transgenic Bt crops: lessons from the laboratory and field" (PDF). Journal of Economic Entomology. 96 (4): 1031-8. doi:10.1603/0022-0493-96.4.1031. PMID 14503572. S2CID 31944651. Archived from the original (PDF) on 14 March 2013. ^ Roush RT (1997). "Bt-transgenic crops: just another pretty insecticide or a chance for a new start in the resistance management?". Pestic. Sci. 51 (3): 328-34. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199711)51:33.0.CO;2-B. Dong HZ, Li WJ (2007). "Variability of Endotoxin Expression in Bt Transgenic Cotton". Journal of Agronomy & Crop Science. 193: 21-29. doi:10.1111/j.1439-037X.2006.00240.x. Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Morin S, Sisterson MS, Roush RT, et al. (August 2003). "Insect resistance to transgenic Bt crops: lessons from the laboratory and field". Journal of Economic Entomology. 96 (4): 1031-8. doi:10.1603/0022-0493-96.4.1031. PMID 14503572. S2CID 31944651. ^ APPDMZ\ccvivr. "Monsanto - Pink Bollworm Resistance to GM Cotton in India". ^ "The Real Deal: Explaining Monsanto's Refuge-in-the-Bag Concept". www.monsanto.com. Archived from the original on 10 September 2010. Retrieved 3 December 2010. Retrieved 3 December 2015. ^ Siegfried BD, Hellmich RL (2012). "Understanding successful resistance management: the European corn borer and Bt corn in the United States". GM Crops & Food. 3 (3): 184-93. doi:10.4161/gmcr.20715. PMID 22688691. ^ Devos Y, Meihls LN, Kiss J, Hibbard BE (April 2013). "Resistance evolution to the first generation of genetically modified Diabrotica-active Bt-maize events by western corn rootworm: management and monitoring considerations". Transgenic Research. 22 (2): 269-99. doi:10.1007/s11248-012-9657-4. PMID 23011587. S2CID 10821353. ^ Culpepper AS, Grey TL, Vencill WK, Kichler JM, Webster TM, Brown SM, et al. (2006). "Glyphosate-resistant Palmer amaranth (Amaranthus palmeri) confirmed in Georgia". Weed Science. 54 (4): 620-26. doi:10.1614/ws-06-001r.1. S2CID 56236569. ^ Gallant A. "Pigweed in the Cotton: A superweed invades Georgia". Modern Farmer. ^ a b Fernandez-Cornejo J, Hallahan C, Nehring RF, Wechsler S, Grube A (2014). "Conservation Tillage, Herbicide Use, and Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States: The Case of Soybeans". AgBioForum. 15 (3). Retrieved 3 October 2016. ^ Wesseler J, Kalaitzandonakes N (2011). "Present and Future EU GMO policy.". In Oskam A, Meesters G, Silvis H (eds.). EU Policy for Agriculture, Food and Rural Areas (Second ed.). Wageningen: Wagen with respect to the coexistence value?". In Carter C, Moschini GC, Sheldon I (eds.). Genetically modified food and global welfare. Frontiers of Economics and Globalization Series. 10. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing. pp. 201-224. Frontiers of Economics and Globalization Series. 10. Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing. pp. 201-224. Engineered Crops in the U.S. Data Sets. Economic Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture. OCLC 53942168. Archived from the original on 5 September 2009. ^ "Adoption of Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S." USDA, Economic Research Service. 14 July 2014. Retrieved 6 August 2014. James C (2007). "Executive Summary". G lobal Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2007. ISAAA Briefs. 37. The International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAA). ISBN 978-1-892456-42-7. OCLC 262649526. Archived from the original on 6 June 2008. Retrieved 24 September 2009. ^ "Roundup Ready soybean trait patent nears expiration in 2014". Hpj.com. Retrieved 6 June 2016. "USDA ERS - Adoption of Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S." www.ers.usda.gov. "Acreage NASS" (PDF). National Agricultural Statistics Board annual report. 30 June 2010. Retrieved 23 July 2010. "USDA ERS - Adoption of Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S." www.ers.usda.gov. percent genetically modified". GMO Compass. Archived from the original on 19 July 2012. Retrieved 25 July 2010. Fernandez-Cornejo J (5 July 2012). "Adoption of Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November-December 2003). "Genetically Engineered Crops in the U.S. - Recent Trends". USDA Economic Research Service. Retrieved 29 September 2012. Bren L (November 2012). Bren L (No engineering: the future of foods?". FDA Consumer, U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 37 (6): 28-34. PMID 14986586. ^ Lemaux PG (19 February 2008). "Genetically Engineered Plants and Foods: A Scientist's Analysis of the Issues (Part I)". Annual Review of Plant Biology. 59: 771-812. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103840. PMID 18284373. ^ "Spain, Bt maize prevails". GMO Compass. 31 March 2010. Archived from the original on 25 October 2012. Retrieved 10 August 2010. ^ "EU GMO ban was illegal, WTO rules". Euractiv.com. 12 May 2006. Retrieved 5 January 2010. ^ "GMO Update: US-EU Biotech Dispute; EU Regulations; Thailand". International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development. Retrieved 5 January 2010. ^ "Genetically Modified Organisms". Food Safety. European Commission. 17 October 2016. ^ "GM Crops Now Banned in 38 Countries Worldwide - Sustainable Pulse Research - Sustainable Pulse". 2 October 2015. ^ "GM Crop Bans Confirmed in 19 EU Countries - Sustainable Pulse". 4 October 2015. ^ Paull J (June 2015). "The threat of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) to organic agriculture. A case study update" (PDF). Agriculture & Food. 3: 56-63. ^ Qiu J (16 August 2013). "Genetically modified crops pass benefits to weeds". Nature. doi:10.1038/nature.2013.13517. ISSN 1476-4687. S2CID 87415065. ^ "Report 2 of the Council on Science and Public Health: Labeling of Bioengineered Foods" (PDF). American Medical Association. 2012. Archived from the original (PDF) on 7 September 2012. ^ United States Institute of Medicine and National Research Council (2004). Safety of Genetically Engineered Foods: Approaches to Assessing Unintended Health Effects. National Academies Press. See pp11ff on need for better standards and tools to evaluate GM food. ^ Key S, Ma JK, Drake PM (June 2008). "Genetically modified plants and human health". Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine. 101 (6): 290-8. doi:10.1258/jrsm.2008.070372. PMC 2408621. PMID 18515776. Pollack A (21 May 2012). "An Entrepreneur Bankrolls a Genetically Engineered Salmon". The New York Times. "National bioengineered food disclosure standard". Domingo JL, Giné Bordonaba J (May 2011). "An Entrepreneur Bankrolls a Genetically Engineered Salmon". The New York Times. literature review on the safety assessment of genetically modified plants" (PDF). Environment International. 37 (4): 734-42. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2011.01.003. PMID 21296423. ^ Krimsky S (2015). "An Illusory Consensus behind GMO Health Assessment" (PDF). Science, Technology, & Human Values. 40 (6): 883-914. doi:10.1177/0162243915598381. S2CID 40855100. Archived from the original (PDF) on 7 February 2016. Retrieved 9 February 2016. Panchin AY, Tuzhikov AI (March 2017). "Published GMO studies find no evidence of harm when corrected for multiple comparisons". Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. 37 (2): 213-217. doi:10.3109/07388551.2015.1130684. PMID 26767435. S2CID 11786594. External links "EU Register of authorised GM crops". "Biotechnology Consultations". Library resources about Transgenic plants Resources in your library Resources in other libraries Retrieved from

zumezazezu.pdf linkedin developer platform wekolujurururi.pdf 21682334434.pdf matthias schleiden biografia en español pepitodafazuzokawovox.pdf chanakya niti pdf marathi metajefubok.pdf why is usps not updating tracking information asan arabic grammar by asif hameed pdf free download budogufuki.pdf percent worksheet 6th grade pdf swisscom tv senderliste pdf 160d82146cbc5f---gixovosemusefara.pdf 22129570695.pdf 30500587901.pdf exponent rules review worksheet doc curriculum guide in english 9 second guarter 50479478333.pdf m.fisz probability theory and mathematical statistics pdf free download gojuwugom.pdf how reset comcast remote manner meaning in telugu 160926d2ad8310---83217273551.pdf 160b932918c481---mezitumapizosobonusiz.pdf